UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/694,722          | 10/29/2003                 | Tomohiro Azuma       | Q77946              | 4037             |
| 23373<br>SUGHRUE MI | 7590 08/14/200<br>ON, PLLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
|                     | LVÁNIA AVENUE, N           | WANG, TED M          |                     |                  |
| WASHINGTO           | N, DC 20037                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                     |                            |                      | 2611                |                  |
|                     |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                     |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                     |                            |                      | 08/14/2009          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/694,722      | AZUMA, TOMOHIRO |  |  |
|                 |                 |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |  |

|   | TED IVI. WAING   | 2011  |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 7/21/2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC  | ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLC  | WANCE.  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   |  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>r).                          | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FIL      | n.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                     |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi                         | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | nsideration and/or search (see NOī<br>w);  | ΓE below);  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul>  |  |   | ne issues for                            |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment (I                                      | PTOL-324).                               |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |   | ,  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  | owable if submitted in a separate, t   |   |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2,11,13-22 and 25.  |  | l be entered and an ex                                    | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,11,13-22 and 25</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 12</u> .   |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se                           | al and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)        | s to provide a<br>).                     |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attache                                  | ed.                                      |
| <ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The arguments offered by the applicant have been address position remains unchanged</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |
|   | /Ted M Wang/   |   |  |
|   | Primary Examiner, Art U  | nit 2611  |  |